

ADMIN-SE

From: jean public [mailto:jean.public@hq.dhs.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2004 12:53 PM
To: ADMIN-S&E
Subject: RE: public comment on federal register of 6/14/04 vol 69 no 113 page 33066

this is as specific as i can be

--- ADMIN-S&E <ADMIN-S&E@HQ.DHS.GOV> wrote:
> b. sachau,

> Thank you for your comments on the Department of
> Homeland Security's
> draft procedures for complying with the National Environmental Policy
> Act. I am writing to get some further clarification
> on your comments.

>
> You made several references to page numbers in your
> comments. In some
> cases, it was not clear to me which paragraph you
> were referencing on
> the page. Could you also provide references to
> specific paragraphs? I
> do not want to misunderstand your comments.

>
> I checked both the e-mail and the internet links
> provided in the Federal
> Register and they are both working. You may copy
> the following URL into
> your internet browser to access the posting at the
> Department of
> Homeland Security web site:

> www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/interapp/editorial/editorial_0468.xml.

> This is
> the same link that is listed in the Federal
> Register.

>
> Mr. David Reese
> Environmental Planning Program Manager
> Office of Safety and Environment
> Department of Homeland Security

> -----Original Message-----

> From: jean public [mailto:jean.public@hq.dhs.gov]
> Sent: Saturday, June 19, 2004 11:15 AM
> To: ADMTN-S&E
> Cc:
> Subject: public comment on federal register of
> 6/14/04 vol 69 no 113
> page 33066

> us dhhs epp directive

>
> first, let me complain that there is no real e mail
> address given for these comments. i wonder why this
> dept. is so behind the times on 2004 means of
> communication so as to be operating in the mode of
> 1945 with snail mail, fax or hand delivery.

>
> comment on page 2 - i think the border security is
> doing a lousy job. i think the bureau of
> citizenship

> is doing a lousy job.
>
> in 3rd paragraph, after the word Integrated, take
> out
> "integrated and instead place "appreciated and
> promulgated" into that place. we want environmental
> standards used at all times.

> comment on page 3 - i went to the site listed as
>
<http://www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/interapp/editorial/editor>,
> but the site was not listed properly in the federal
> register and it certainly did not even work. why
> list
> sites as places to look for information when they DO
> NOT WORK AT ALL.
>
> I BELIEVE SINCE THIS SITE DOES NOT WORK THIS
> PROPOSAL
> SHOULD BE REISSUED FOR ANOTHER 120 DAYS.
>
> comment on page 4 - I hope that the "supplements"
> issued here do not take anything away from NEPA. I
> note that the council seems to be issuing
> politicized
> regulations these days that attempt to scuttle all
> the
> environmental laws we have worked so hard for so
> many
> years to put in place here in america. Our present administration
> seems to want to log our forests, mine
> ever square inch of america, etc--all for their own
> enrichment - because certainly america will be
> poorer
> for these actions.
>
> comment on page 5 - I think number 4 should be
> switched to be no. 1 in the list. Somehow I get the
> feeling from reading this document that this
> proposal
> may be an attempt to ignore environmental
> safeguards.
>
>
> in last paragraph above no. 1 add the word "very"
> before important in the first line.
>
> comment on page 8 - I oppose and object to this
> document which seems to downgrade the importance of
> the environment.
>
> comment on page 15 - 2.1 add the word after
> "communities" in the third line and "people".
>
> comment on page 17 - i wonder why private
> environmental groups such as Sierra Club,
> Greenpeace,
> Fund for animals, humane society and all those who
> are
> known to favor saving trees and saving
> animals/wildlife are not included in these
> deliberations. They seem at all times to be
> purposely
> left out. I think that must change. They must be
> invited to the table and given an important role for
> the important role they play in america.
>

> comment on page 34 - no planned burning must take
> place at any location in the u.s. since such burning
> releases air particulates which negatively impact
> human health and cause lung cancer, asthma, heart
> attacks and genetic malformations. it is time to
> stop
> this burning.
>
> comment on page 38 - E - in national areas, not only
> "LOCAL" people care about these areas, and in every
> case all local environmental groups must be
> contacted
> such as sierra club, humane society, fund for
> animals,
> greenpeace, people for ethical treatment of animals,
> etc. never consider a nationally paid for area as
> "local"
>
> i am disturbed by this agency seeking to become
> despotic in regard to all genuine american citizens
> and worry that any rules that emanate from this
> agency
> will seek to take away all rights from those same
> genuine american citizens.
>
> b. sachau
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>

Do you Yahoo!?

Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Get it on your mobile phone <http://mobile.yahoo.com/mailedemo>